



Due Date: 10 February 2015, Tuesday
Time: Class time
Instructor: Ali Sinan Sertöz

NAME:.....
STUDENT NO:.....

Math 430 / Math 505 Introduction to Complex Geometry – Homework 1 – Solutions

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | TOTAL |
|----|----|----|---|---|-------|
| | | | | | |
| 20 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 100 |

Please do not write anything inside the above boxes!

Check that there are **3** questions on your exam booklet. Write your name on top of every page. Show your work in reasonable detail. A correct answer without proper or too much reasoning may not get any credit. **Submit your solutions on this booklet only. Use extra pages if necessary.**

Rules for Homework and Take-Home Exams

- (1) You may discuss the problems only with your classmates or with me. In particular you may not ask your assigned questions or any related question to online forums.
- (2) You may use any written source be it printed or online. Google search is perfectly acceptable.
- (3) It is absolutely mandatory that you write your answers alone. Any similarity with your written words with any other solution or any other source that I happen to know is a direct violation of honesty.
- (4) You must obey the usual rules of attribution: all sources you use must be explicitly cited in such a manner that the source is easily retrieved with your citation. This includes any ideas you borrowed from your friends.
- (5) Even if you find a solution online, you must rewrite it in your own narration, fill in the blanks if any, making sure that you exhibit your total understanding of the ideas involved.
- (6) If I sense any hint of violation of any of the above rules in your paper I will either assume that you did not answer that question or that your contribution is only on the level of a scribe in which case I will assign a small fraction of the grade for that question.

Affidavit of compliance with the above rules: I affirm that I have complied with the above rules in preparing this submitted work. Moreover I declare that every solution I wrote reflects my true understanding of the problem, and any sources used, ideas from friends or other sources included, are explicitly cited without exception. I am aware that violation of the above codes results not only in losing some meaningless grades but also of respect and dignity.

Please sign here:

NAME:

STUDENT NO:

Q-1) Let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ with $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$. Let $B_\epsilon(0)$ be the polydisc around the origin defined by

$$B_\epsilon(0) = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z_1| < \epsilon_1 \text{ and } |z_2| < \epsilon_2\}.$$

- (a) Describe the set $\partial B_\epsilon(0)$, the boundary of the polydisc $B_\epsilon(0)$, in a similar way as the above description of the polydisc itself.
- (b) Let $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2)$ with $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$. Let $(a, b) \in \partial B_\epsilon(0)$. Show that the polydisc $B_\delta(a, b)$ around (a, b) contains both a point in $B_\epsilon(0)$ and a point in the complement of $B_\epsilon(0)$ by explicitly writing two such points.

Solution:

The boundary can be described as follows.

$$\partial B_\epsilon(0) = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{either } |z_1| = \epsilon_1 \text{ and } |z_2| \leq \epsilon_2, \text{ or } |z_1| \leq \epsilon_1 \text{ and } |z_2| = \epsilon_2\}$$

Next we show that this description satisfies the definition of boundary in the sense that any neighborhood of any point satisfying the above conditions contains both a point in $B_\epsilon(0)$ and a point in its complement.

Let $(a, b) \in \partial B_\epsilon(0)$ and assume that $|a| = \epsilon_1$. Define

$$(z_1, z_2) = \left(\left(1 + \frac{\delta_1}{2\epsilon_1}\right)a, b \right).$$

Then $|z_1 - a| = \left(\frac{\delta_1}{2\epsilon_1}\right)|a| = \frac{\delta_1}{2} < \delta_1$ and $|z_2 - b| = 0 < \delta_2$. Hence $(z_1, z_2) \in B_\delta(a, b)$. But $|z_1| = \left(1 + \frac{\delta_1}{2\epsilon_1}\right)|a| = \left(1 + \frac{\delta_1}{2\epsilon_1}\right)\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_1$, hence $(z_1, z_2) \notin B_\epsilon(0)$.

Next, choose a positive real number n such that both

$$0 \leq 1 - \frac{\delta_i}{n\epsilon_i} < 1, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Define

$$(z_1, z_2) = \left(\left(1 - \frac{\delta_1}{n\epsilon_1}\right)a, \left(1 - \frac{\delta_2}{n\epsilon_2}\right)b \right).$$

Since $|z_1 - a| < \delta_1$ and $|z_2 - b| < \delta_2$, we have that $(z_1, z_2) \in B_\delta(a, b)$. Moreover we have $|z_i| \leq \left(1 - \frac{\delta_i}{n\epsilon_i}\right)\epsilon_i < \epsilon_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence $(z_1, z_2) \in B_\epsilon(0)$.

NAME:

STUDENT NO:

Q-2) Let U be a non-empty open subset in \mathbb{C}^n where $n > 1$, and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-constant holomorphic function. Show that $f(U)$ is open in \mathbb{C} . You may use the fact that the statement is true when $n = 1$.

Solution:

Choose a point p in $F(U) \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let $q \in U$ be a preimage of p , i.e. $f(q) = p$. Choose an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\vec{\epsilon} = (\epsilon, \dots, \epsilon)$ and $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, then the polydisc

$$B_{\vec{\epsilon}}(q) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z_i - q_i| < \epsilon, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

lies totally in U . Such a choice is possible since U is open. Let

$$D_\epsilon = \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |w| < \epsilon\}$$

be the open disc around the origin in \mathbb{C} of radius ϵ . Define a collection of vectors $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$V = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\lambda_i| < 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

It follows that

$$z \in B_{\vec{\epsilon}}(q) \text{ if and only if } z = q + \lambda w \text{ for some } \lambda \in V \text{ and } w \in D_\epsilon.$$

For each $\lambda \in V$ define the set

$$U_\lambda = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z = q + \lambda w \text{ for some } w \in D_\epsilon\}.$$

We claim that there exists a $\lambda \in V$ such that $f|_{U_\lambda}$ is not constant. Assume the contrary. Since we have

$$B_{\vec{\epsilon}}(q) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in V} U_\lambda,$$

and since $q \in U_\lambda$ for every $\lambda \in V$, it then follows that $f|_{B_{\vec{\epsilon}}(q)}$ is constant and is equal to $f(q)$. This contradicts the assumption that f is not constant.

Finally fix a $\lambda \in V$ such that f is not constant on U_λ .

Consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda : D_\epsilon &\rightarrow f(U) \subset \mathbb{C}, \\ w &\mapsto f(q + \lambda w). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\phi_\lambda(D_\epsilon) = f(U_\lambda) \subset f(U)$. Since as a holomorphic function of a single variable, the function ϕ_λ is an open mapping, the set $\phi_\lambda(D_\epsilon)$ is an open neighborhood of p in $f(U)$. Hence f is an open mapping.

NAME:

STUDENT NO:

Q-3) Let U be a non-empty open subset in \mathbb{C}^n where $n > 1$, and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-constant holomorphic function. Show that there is no point $z_0 \in U$ such that $|f(z_0)| \geq |f(z)|$ for all $z \in U$. You may use the fact that the statement is true when $n = 1$.

Solution:

First Solution: Suppose there exists a $z_0 \in U$ such that $|f(z_0)| \geq |f(z)|$ for all $z \in U$. Set $q = z_0$. Using the notation of the solution for Question 2, consider the one variable holomorphic function ϕ_λ for any fixed $\lambda \in V$. Then we would have $|\phi_\lambda(0)| \geq |\phi_\lambda(w)|$ for every $w \in D_\epsilon$, a clear contradiction.

Second Solution: Define $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $\phi(z) = |z|$. It is clear that ϕ is an open mapping. We showed in Question 2 that f is an open mapping. Composition of open mappings being open, the map $(\phi \circ f)$ is open. Hence $(\phi \circ f)(z) = |f(z)|$ cannot attain a maximum for $z \in U$.