

Math 503 Complex Analysis – Exam 08

1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
100	0	0	0	0	100

Please do not write anything inside the above boxes!

Check that there is **1** question on your exam booklet. Write your name on top of every page. Show your work in reasonable detail. A correct answer without proper or too much reasoning may not get any credit.

Q-1) Define the complex Gamma function as

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt, \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} z > 0.$$

Show that for any non-negative integer k , the k -th derivative of the Gamma function is given by the formula

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} (\ln t)^k dt, \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} z > 0.$$

Solution: We will show that $\Gamma(z)$ is complex differentiable for $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ using ϵ - δ arguments. For this we need a candidate for the derivative. We anticipate that the derivative operator will commute with the integral sign and hence that the k -th derivative of the Γ function will be

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} (\ln t)^k dt \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} z > 0,$$

where k is any non-negative integer. We will first show that these integrals exist and converge uniformly on compact subsets of $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$.

Throughout this section we fix the notation as $z = x + iy$ where x and y are real and $x > 0$.

Lemma 1 *Let k be any non-negative integer. For all $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, the integrals*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} (\ln t)^k dt$$

exist for $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, and converge uniformly on compact subsets.

Proof: The existence of this integral is equivalent to the possibility of making the values

$$\left| \int_0^b e^{-t} t^{z-1} (\ln t)^k dt \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int_R^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} (\ln t)^k dt \right|$$

arbitrarily small by convenient choices of b and R . We will show that this is possible.

For any z with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ choose $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 1$ such that

$$0 < \alpha < x < \beta.$$

From the usual observations that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{\alpha/2} (\ln t)^k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\beta} (\ln t)^k = 0$$

we can choose $\delta > 0$ and $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$|t^{\alpha/2} (\ln t)^k| < 1 \quad \text{for all } 0 < t < \delta,$$

and

$$|t^{-\beta} (\ln t)^k| < 1 \quad \text{for all } t \geq R_1.$$

Then for all $0 < b < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^b e^{-tz} t^{z-1} (\ln t)^k dt \right| &\leq \int_0^b e^{-tx} t^{x-1} |(\ln t)^k| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^b e^{-t} t^{\alpha-1} |(\ln t)^k| dt \\ &= \int_0^b e^{-t} t^{(\alpha/2)-1} |t^{\alpha/2} (\ln t)^k| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^b e^{-t} t^{(\alpha/2)-1} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for all $R \geq R_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_R^\infty e^{-tz} t^{z-1} (\ln t)^k dt \right| &\leq \int_R^\infty e^{-tx} t^{x-1} |(\ln t)^k| dt \\ &\leq \int_R^\infty e^{-t} t^{\beta-1} |(\ln t)^k| dt \\ &= \int_R^\infty e^{-t} t^{(2\beta)-1} |t^{-\beta} (\ln t)^k| dt \\ &\leq \int_R^\infty e^{-t} t^{(2\beta)-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now for any $\epsilon > 0$ choose $0 < \Delta \leq \delta$ and $R_0 \geq R_1$ such that for all $0 < b < \Delta$ and for all $R \geq R_0$ we have

$$\int_0^b e^{-t} t^{(\alpha/2)-1} dt < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_R^\infty e^{-t} t^{(2\beta)-1} dt < \epsilon,$$

which we know we can do since $\Gamma(\alpha/2)$ and $\Gamma(2\beta)$ exist. This proves the existence of the given integral.

If D is a compact subset of $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, then the α and β of the above argument can be chosen such that $\alpha < x < \beta$ holds for all $z \in D$. Then the existence of Δ and R_0 depend on ϵ and D but not on individual z in D . This proves the uniform convergence on compact subsets. \square

Now that we know the existence of the candidate functions, we formulate what we want to prove. We want to show that for any non-negative integer k and for any z with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, we have

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tz} t^{z-1} (\ln t)^k dt.$$

We already know that this holds for $k = 0$. Assume that it holds for some $k \geq 0$. We then want to prove it for $k + 1$. In other words we want to show that for this fixed k and for any z with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, and for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all Δz with $0 < |\Delta z| < \delta$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma^{(k)}(z + \Delta z) - \Gamma^{(k)}(z)}{\Delta z} - \int_0^\infty e^{-tz} (\ln t)^{k+1} dt \right| < \epsilon,$$

or equivalently, using the induction hypothesis,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| dt < \epsilon. \quad (1)$$

We expect to achieve this by choosing $|\Delta z|$ small. Let us agree that Δz will be chosen such that $0 < |\Delta z| < x/2$. Throughout our analysis we may have to make it smaller if necessary but we will never make it larger than $x/2$.

We start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 2 *For any z with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, for any integer $k \geq 0$, for any $t > 0$, and for any Δz with $0 < |\Delta z| < x/2$, we have*

$$\left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| \leq \begin{cases} |\ln t|^{k+1} t^{-x/2} & \text{if } 0 < t \leq 1, \\ |\ln t|^{k+1} t^{x/2} & \text{if } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Observe that

$$t^{\Delta z} = e^{(\Delta z)(\ln t)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta z)^n (\ln t)^n}{n!}.$$

Putting this into the given expression and simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| &= \left| (\ln t)^{k+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta z)^n (\ln t)^n}{(n+1)!} \right| \\ &\leq |(\ln t)^{k+1}| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta z|^n |\ln t|^n}{(n+1)!} \\ &\leq |(\ln t)^{k+1}| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta z|^n |\ln t|^n}{n!} \\ &\leq |(\ln t)^{k+1}| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta z|^n |\ln t|^n}{n!} \\ &= |(\ln t)^{k+1}| e^{|\Delta z| |\ln t|} \\ &= |(\ln t)^{k+1}| e^{|\ln t| |\Delta z|}. \end{aligned}$$

When $0 < t \leq 1$, we have

$$e^{|\ln t| |\Delta z|} = e^{\ln t^{-|\Delta z|}} = t^{-|\Delta z|} \leq t^{-x/2}.$$

On the other hand, when $t \geq 1$, we have

$$e^{|\ln t| |\Delta z|} = e^{\ln t^{|\Delta z|}} = t^{|\Delta z|} \leq t^{x/2},$$

and this completes the proof. □

We now return to the integral in equation (1), and consider it in three pieces.

Lemma 3 Fix an integer $k \geq 0$, any z with $\operatorname{Re} z = x > 0$, and any Δz with $0 < |\Delta z| < x/2$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a number a with $0 < a < 1$ such that for all c with $0 < c \leq a$, we have

$$\int_0^c e^{-t} t^{x-1} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| dt < \epsilon/3.$$

Proof: Since $0 < t < 1$, using the fact that $e^{-t} < 1$ and the previous lemma, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t} t^{x-1} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| &< t^{x-1} t^{-x/2} |\ln t|^{k+1} \\ &= t^{(x/4)-1} [t^{x/4} (\ln \frac{1}{t})^{k+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} [t^{x/4} (\ln \frac{1}{t})^{k+1}] = 0,$$

for this given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a real number a with $0 < a < 1$ such that for all t with $0 < t < a$, we have

$$[t^{x/4} (\ln \frac{1}{t})^{k+1}] < \frac{\epsilon x}{12}.$$

Finally we have for all c with $0 < c \leq a$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^c e^{-t} t^{x-1} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| dt &< \int_0^c t^{(x/4)-1} [t^{x/4} (\ln \frac{1}{t})^{k+1}] dt \\ &< \frac{\epsilon x}{12} \int_0^c t^{(x/4)-1} dt \\ &= \frac{\epsilon x}{12} \left(\frac{t^{x/4}}{x/4} \Big|_{t=0}^{t=c} \right) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon x c^{x/4}}{12 x/4} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon x}{12} \frac{1}{x/4} \\ &= \epsilon/3, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Next we consider the other end of the integral in equation (1).

Lemma 4 Fix an integer $k \geq 0$, any z with $\operatorname{Re} z = x > 0$, and any Δz with $0 < |\Delta z| < x/2$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a number $b \geq 1$ such that for all r with $r \geq b$, we have

$$\int_r^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| dt < \epsilon/3.$$

Proof: Using Lemma 2, we can write for all $t \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t} t^{x-1} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| &< e^{-t} t^{x-1} [t^{x/2} (\ln t)^{k+1}] \\ &= e^{-t/2} [e^{-t/2} t^{(3x/2)-1} (\ln t)^{k+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-t/2} t^{(3x/2)-1} (\ln t)^{k+1}] = 0,$$

there exists for this given $\epsilon > 0$, a number $b \geq 1$ such that for all $t \geq b$, we have

$$[e^{-t/2}t^{(3x/2)-1}(\ln t)^{k+1}] < \epsilon/6.$$

Now for any such $r \geq b$, our integral can be estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^\infty e^{-t}t^{x-1} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z}(\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| dt &< (\epsilon/6) \int_r^\infty e^{-t/2} dt \\ &= (\epsilon/6) (2e^{-r/2}) \\ &< (\epsilon/6) (2) \\ &= \epsilon/3, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Now we begin to consider the middle part.

Lemma 5 *Let $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every $t \in [a, b]$, and for every Δz , we have*

$$0 < |\Delta z| < \delta \implies \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} - 1}{\Delta z} - \ln t \right| < \epsilon.$$

Proof: Let $m_0 = \max\{|\ln a|, |\ln b|\}$.

We start by the Taylor expansion of $\frac{t^{\Delta z} - 1}{\Delta z} - \ln t$ as a function of Δz around 0.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} - 1}{\Delta z} - \ln t \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\ln t)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} (\Delta z)^n \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\ln t|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} |\Delta z|^n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_0^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} |\Delta z|^n \end{aligned}$$

which is now a real analytic function of $|\Delta z|$ defined everywhere. In particular it vanishes at the origin and is continuous there. Hence a $\delta > 0$ exists such that for all $|\Delta z| < \delta$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_0^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} |\Delta z|^n < \epsilon.$$

This then completes the proof. □

Corollary 6 *Let $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ and fix any non-negative integer k . For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every $t \in [a, b]$, we have*

$$|\Delta z| < \delta \implies \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z}(\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| < \epsilon.$$

Proof: Let $m_0 = \max\{|\ln a|, |\ln b|\}$. By the same idea as above we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that for all $0 < |\Delta z| < \delta$ we will have

$$\left| \frac{t^{\Delta z}(\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| \leq \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_0^{k+n+1}}{(n+1)!} |\Delta z|^n \right| < \epsilon$$

which completes the proof. \square

Note that by putting $k = 0$ in the corollary we recover the lemma.

We have one last technical lemma for the middle part.

Lemma 7 Fix an integer $k \geq 0$, any z with $\operatorname{Re} z = x > 0$, and any interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ with $0 < a < 1 < b$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all Δz with $0 < |\Delta z| < \delta$, we have

$$\int_a^b e^{-t} t^{x-1} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| dt < \epsilon/3.$$

Proof: Choose $0 < \delta < (\operatorname{Re} z)/2$ such that for all $t \in [a, b]$ and for all $0 < |\Delta z| < \delta$ we have

$$\left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{3\Gamma(x)}$$

as in corollary (6) above. Here $0 < \delta < (\operatorname{Re} z)/2$ is needed to assure that $\operatorname{Re}(z + \Delta z) > 0$ so that $\Gamma(z + \Delta z)$ is defined. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b e^{-t} t^{x-1} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| dt &< \frac{\epsilon}{3\Gamma(x)} \int_a^b e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3\Gamma(x)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt \\ &= \epsilon/3, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. \square

We can now proceed with the derivative of the Γ function.

Theorem 8 Let k be any non-negative integer. For any $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, we have

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} (\ln t)^k dt.$$

Proof: We will prove this by induction on k . The case $k = 0$ is trivial at this point, since it describes the Gamma function itself. Assume that the claim holds for a certain $k \geq 0$. We will show that the $k + 1$ derivative of the Gamma function is of the required form. For this we want to show that given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for any Δz with $0 < |\Delta z| < \delta$, we will have inequality (1) which is

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} \left| \frac{t^{\Delta z} (\ln t)^k - (\ln t)^k}{\Delta z} - (\ln t)^{k+1} \right| dt < \epsilon.$$

For this given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $0 < a < 1$ as in Lemma 3, choose $b > 1$ as in Lemma 4, and choose $\delta > 0$ as in Lemma 7. Now clearly for any Δz with $0 < |\Delta z| < \delta$, the required inequality (1) holds, completing the induction. \square