

Due Date: 29 December 2014, Monday – Class time      NAME:.....

Ali Sinan Sertöz      STUDENT NO:.....

**Math 503 Complex Analysis – Take-Home Midterm Exam 2 – Solutions**

1	2	3	4	TOTAL
25	25	25	25	100

*Please do not write anything inside the above boxes!*

Check that there are **4** questions on your exam booklet. Write your name on top of every page. Show your work in reasonable detail. A correct answer without proper or too much reasoning may not get any credit.

---

NAME:

STUDENT NO:

**Q-1)** Let  $\zeta(z)$  be the Riemann zeta function, which is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$  with a simple pole at  $z = 1$  and holomorphic elsewhere, and set  $\eta(z) = \frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)}$  for  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$ .

Show that for any  $z_0$  with  $\operatorname{Re} z_0 \geq 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0)\eta(z) = N,$$

where  $N$  is an integer. How do we determine the sign of  $N$ ?

**Solution:**

For any meromorphic function  $f(z)$ , the Laurent expansion of  $f'/f$  around any point  $z_0$  is given as

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{m}{z - z_0} + F(z),$$

where  $F$  is analytic around  $z_0$  and  $m$  is an integer denoting the order of  $f$  at  $z_0$ : if  $f$  vanishes to order  $n$  at  $z_0$ , then  $m = n$ , and if  $f$  has a pole of order  $n$  at  $z_0$ , then  $m = -n$ . If on the other hand  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ , then  $m = 0$ .

It follows that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = m$  is an integer. Now putting  $f(z) = \zeta(z)$  solves the problem.

NAME:

STUDENT NO:

**Q-2)** Assume that  $\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^z}$  for  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$ , where the  $\Lambda$  function is defined as

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^m \text{ for some prime } p \text{ and positive integer } m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Continuing from Question 1, show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we must have

$$\operatorname{Re} \eta(1 + \epsilon + it) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(n) n^{-(1+\epsilon)} \cos(t \log n).$$

**Solution:**

We need to find the real part of  $n^{-(1+\epsilon+it)}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-(1+\epsilon+it)} &= n^{-(1+\epsilon)} n^{-it} = n^{-(1+\epsilon)} e^{-it \log n} \\ &= n^{-(1+\epsilon)} [\cos(t \log n) - i \sin(t \log n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda(n)n^{-z} = \Lambda(n) n^{-(1+\epsilon)} \cos(t \log n)$ . Now summing these up we get the result.

NAME:

STUDENT NO:

**Q-3)** Continuing from the previous questions, show that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$3 \operatorname{Re} \eta(1 + \epsilon) + 4 \operatorname{Re} \eta(1 + \epsilon + it) + \operatorname{Re} \eta(1 + \epsilon + 2it) \leq 0.$$

**Solution:**

Using the previous result, what we want to prove here is equivalent to showing that

$$3 + 4 \cos \alpha + \cos 2\alpha \geq 0,$$

where  $\alpha = t \log n$ . This however follows from an obvious trigonometric identity.

$$\begin{aligned} 3 + 4 \cos \alpha + \cos 2\alpha &= 3 + 4 \cos \alpha + 2 \cos^2 \alpha - 1 \\ &= 2(\cos \alpha + 1)^2 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

NAME:

STUDENT NO:

**Q-4)** Continuing from the previous questions, show that neither  $\zeta(it)$  nor  $\zeta(1 + it)$  vanishes for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution:**

Suppose that  $\zeta$  vanishes at  $(1 + it)$  to order  $N$ . Letting  $z = 1 + \epsilon + it$  and  $z_0 = 1 + it$ , we see that  $z \rightarrow z_0$  is equivalent to  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Using the result of Question 1, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} [3\epsilon\eta(1 + \epsilon) + 4\epsilon\eta(1 + \epsilon + it) + \epsilon\eta(1 + \epsilon + 2it)] = -3 + 4N > 0,$$

but this contradicts the result of Question 2. This shows that  $\zeta(1 + it) \neq 0$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It follows from the Riemann functional equation

$$\zeta(z) = 2^z \pi^{z-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi z}{2}\right) \Gamma(1 - z) \zeta(1 - z)$$

that if  $\zeta(it) = 0$ , then  $\zeta(1 - it) = 0$ . But this contradicts our finding above. So  $\zeta$  function has no zeros on the  $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} z = 1$  lines.